

0 THE FACTS SUPPORTING THE S.122 CHARGE.

1. DID IMPROPERLY SUBMIT 3 OFFICIAL RECEIPTS TO THE PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA IN WHICH HE SOUGHT REIMBURSEMENT FOR \$3400 WHICH HE ALLEGEDLY PAID TO MS. NICOLE CAMPBELL FOR CONSTITUENCY ~~EXP~~ SERVICES PERFORMED BY HER. MR. MACKINNON NEVER PAID MS. NICOLE CAMPBELL <sup>THEY</sup> BUT RATHER TRANSFERRED OWNERSHIP OF A 1995 CHRYSLER INTREPID VEHICLE TO HER. (AT NO POINT DID) THE OFFICIAL RECEIPTS DID NOT REFLECT THE TRUE NATURE OF THE TRANSACTION.

IN  
AUG 17 2016  
2. DID (~~SUBMIT A FA~~) IMPROPERLY SUBMIT A FALSE OFFICIAL RECEIPT TO THE PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA IN RELATION TO ALLEGEDLY PAYING MR. GEORGE MACKEGAN \$7500<sup>00</sup> FOR ALLEGED~~ED~~ SERVICES PERFORMED BY MR. MACKEGAN WHICH PURPORTED TO RELATE TO LEGITIMATE CONSTITUENCY EXPENSES. NEITHER DID MR. MACKEGAN PERFORM <sup>all of</sup> THE SERVICES AS OUTLINED IN THE OFFICIAL RECEIPT. NOR DID HE RECEIVE THE \$7500. MR. MACKINNON WAS RE-IMBURSED THE \$7500 ON THE BASIS OF THE OFFICIAL RECEIPT. IN 2010 MR. <sup>Mr. Mackinnon</sup> MACKINNON WAS PROVIDED A T4A WHICH INCLUDED THE \$7500. ~~FOR~~ MR. MACKEGAN DISPUTED THIS T4A. A POLICE INVESTIGATION COMMENCED, AND MR. MACKEGAN WAS INTERVIEWED BY THE POLICE. MR. MACKEGAN WAS SUBSEQUENTLY CONTACTED BY MR. MACKINNON WHO PAID HIM \$7500 AT THIS TIME.

*[Signature]*